

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

OCT 30 2023

RICK WARREN
COURT CLERK

42 _____

Oklahoma Department of Securities)	
<i>ex rel.</i> Melanie Hall, Administrator,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
)	
Premier Global Corporation, <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Case No. CJ-2022-5066
Judge Don Andrews

**PLAINTIFF’S OBJECTION TO RECEIVERSHIP MONTHLY STATEMENTS OF
DEFENDANTS RICHARD DALE DEAN AND DDI ADVISORY GROUP, LLC**

Plaintiff, Oklahoma Department of Securities, *ex rel.* Melanie Hall (“Plaintiff”), for its objection to the *Notice of Submission of Receivership Monthly Statements by Defendants Richard Dale Dean and DDI Advisory Group, LLC* (“*Receivership Statement(s)*”), alleges and states as follows:

1. On October 14, 2022, the Court entered the *Order Freezing Assets, Order Prohibiting Disposition of Assets and Order Prohibiting Destruction or Disposition of Records* (the “Freeze Order”), freezing the assets of Defendant Richard Dale Dean (“Dean”) and Defendant DDI Advisory Group, LLC (“DDI Advisory”) (collectively, the “Dean Defendants”).

2. On October 31, 2022, the Court appointed Eric Johnson as the Receiver (“Receiver”) over the assets of the Dean Defendants.

3. On December 15, 2022, Dean sought modifications to the Freeze Order by filing the *Emergency Motion of Defendant Richard Dale Dean for Partial Lifting of Asset Freeze to Permit Payment of Living Expenses* and the *Emergency Motion of Defendant Richard Dale Dean for Partial Lifting of Asset Freeze to Permit Payment of Legal Fees* (“Dean

Motions”). Plaintiff filed objections to the Dean Motions and the Receiver filed a response to the Dean Motions.

The Unfreeze Order

5. On March 14, 2023, the Court entered its *Order on Defendant Richard Dale Dean and DDI Advisory Group, LLC’s Emergency Motions for Partial Lifting of Asset Freeze to Permit Payment of Living Expenses and for Partial Lifting of Asset Freeze to Permit Payment of Legal Fees* (the “Unfreeze Order”), memorializing the conclusions of the January 11, 2023, hearing.

6. The Unfreeze Order modified the Freeze Order regarding the payment of the Dean Defendants’ living expenses and legal fees by establishing procedures to be utilized and conditions of compliance by the Dean Defendants in order to allow for any such payments including the following:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the following compensation procedures shall be utilized for the payment of the Dean Defendants’ legal fees and expenses:

1. From the funds originally transferred from Kanza Account ending #3907, the Receiver will further segregate \$245,000.00 into a separate account maintained by the receivership estate (“Segregated Legal Fund) for fees and expenses incurred after October 13, 2022. The Receiver will not be required, absent Order of the Court, to replenish the Segregated Legal Fund with other receivership estate funds or recoveries”

2. For services performed from and after October 13, 2022, CAD (now S&J) shall separate and maintain separate billing statements for services performed for the Dean Defendants’ defense (the “Personal Fees”), and for the services performed in assistance to the Receiver and the Receivership Estates (the Receivership Fees”).

7. The Dean Defendants have now requested payment for and/or given notice of at least **\$341,872.64** in Personal Fees and Receivership Fees. No order has been issued to replenish the Segregated Legal Fund.

8. Pursuant to the Unfreeze Order, the court authorized a total of \$245,000.00 to be set aside in a separate account for Dean's Segregated Legal Fund, which therefore renders the current request for payment of Receivership Fees moot, as the Dean Defendants have already requested payment of Personal Fees and Receivership Fees well in excess of the full amount authorized by the Court.

9. Further, on October 20, 2023, Plaintiff received the Dean Defendants' Receivership Statement, with a billing invoice attached, allegedly showing services performed in assistance of the Receiver and the Receivership Estate. The Receivership Statement describes Receivership Fees incurred in the sum of \$8,556.50 for the month of September 2023. Plaintiff asserts that numerous entries were not performed for the benefit of the Receivership Estate. Plaintiff objects to payment of the fees highlighted on the attached invoice as not for the benefit of the Receivership Estate. *See Exhibit "A" attached. (Exhibit A is attached only to copies of this objection provided to the Court and to counsel of record.)*

10. The entries to which Plaintiff objects are all arguably Personal Fees performed for the Dean Defendants' defense, including fees for activities that are clerical in nature, activities that involve analyzing and evaluating the activities of the Receiver and reviewing pleadings, duplicative fees, and activities of Dean's counsel that relate to preparing and reviewing their own reports and billing statements.

11. Of the requested Receivership Statement fees totaling \$8,556.50, a total of at least \$2,731.50 in such fees were not incurred in assisting the Receivership Estate, leaving a total of \$5,825.00 in fees that were incurred arguably for work performed for the benefit Receivership Estate.

AUTHORITIES

The Asset Freeze is Critical to Preserving Assets for Victims

An asset freeze is put in place to protect investors and a regulatory agency's ability to seek disgorgement. *See SEC v. Unifund SAL*, 910 F.2d 1028, 1041 (2d Cir. 1990). An asset freeze preserves a defendant's assets so that the assets are available to victimized investors of securities fraud. *SEC v. Dobbins*, 2004 WL 957715, *2 (N.D. Tex. April 14, 2004). The Freeze Order was determined to be essential by this Court in this case, wherein hundreds of investors bear potential losses of millions of dollars.

Through the Unfreeze Order, the Dean Defendants have attempted to bill the Receivership for fees that are substantially unrelated to any assistance to the Receiver or the Receivership Estate. Plaintiff objects to the release of frozen funds for the payment of such fees as those funds are critical to redress of loss to the Dean Defendants' victims in this case. Further, the Dean Defendants have already made requests for the full amount of fees authorized by the Court in the Unfreeze Order.

CONCLUSION

Payment of the fees sought by the Receivership Statement should be denied in full. The Dean Defendants have already made requests for the full amount of any legal fees authorized by the Court in the Unfreeze Order. Further, much of the work performed was not performed "for the benefit of the Receivership Estate" but was incurred solely for the benefit of the Defendants. Plaintiff requests denial of the fees and expenses pursuant to the Receivership Statement in their entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF SECURITIES
Melanie Hall, Administrator

By: *Patricia A. Labarthe*
Patricia A. Labarthe, OBA #10391
Shaun Mullins, OBA #16869
Brad Davenport, OBA #18687
Oklahoma Department of Securities
204 North Robinson, Suite400
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
Telephone (405) 280-7700
Fax (405) 280-7742
Email: plabarthe@securities.ok.gov
smullins@securities.ok.gov
bdavenport@securities.ok.gov
Attorneys for the Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the ~~30th~~ day of October, 2023, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument was delivered via First Class U.S. mail, with postage fully prepaid thereon, to:

Rollin Nash, Jr.
Dennis S. Boxeuer
Bryan C. Dixon
NASH COHENOUR & GIESSMAN, P.C.
4101 Perimeter Center Dr., Ste. 200
Oklahoma City, OK 73112
Email: dboxeuer@nashfirm.com
bdixon@nashfirm.com
*Attorneys for Defendants Elkins & Assoc., Inc.
and Clyde Edward Elkins*

Justin Williams
OVERMAN LEGAL GROUP, P.L.L.C
809 N.W. 36th St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
*Attorney for Defendants Joshua Dane Owen
and Premier Marketing Management*

Jeanette C. Timmons
CONNER & WINTERS, LP
1700 One Leadership Square
211 N. Robinson Ave.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Email: jtimmons@cwlaw.com
*Attorney for Defendants J & H Holdings, LLC,
Kyle Blackburn, Mitzimack, Inc., Erika
Greggs, James Scott Stanley, Edmond
Brokerage, Inc., Brent Lee Worley, Byron Kent
Freeman, and Karen Lynne Freeman*


Tara A. LaClair
Mary H. Tolbert
Bruce W. Day
STEPTOE & JOHNSON, PLLC
101 N. Robinson Ave., Ste. 500
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Email: tara.laclair@steptoe-johnson.com
molly.tolbert@steptoe-johnson.com
bruce.day@steptoe-johnson.com
*Attorneys for Defendants DDI Advisory
Group and Richard Dale Dean*

J. Clay Christensen
Jonathon M. Miles
Brock Z. Pittman
Whitney J. Dockrey
CHRISTENSEN LAW GROUP, P.L.L.C.
The Parkway Bldg.
3401 N.W. 63rd St., Ste. 600
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
Email: clay@christensenlawgroup.com
jon@christensenlawgroup.com
brock@christensenlawgroup.com
whitney@christensenlawgroup.com
*Attorneys for Defendants J & H Holdings,
LLC, Kyle Blackburn, Mitzimack, Inc., Erika
Greggs, James Scott Stanley, Edmond
Brokerage, Inc., Brent Lee Worley, Byron Kent
Freeman, and Karen Lynne Freeman*

Eric Johnson, Receiver
SPENCER FANE, LLP
1000 Walnut, Ste. 1400
Kansas City, MO 64106

Hilary Allen
SPENCER FANE, LLP
9400 N. Broadway Ext., Ste. 600
Oklahoma City, OK 73114
Email: hallen@spencerfane.com
*Attorney for Receiver,
Eric Johnson*

Shawn D. Twing
Mullin Hoard & Brown, L.L.P.
5002 S. Taylor, Ste. 800
Amarillo National Bank Plaza II
Amarillo, TX 79101
*Attorney for interested party,
Life Investors Management Company, LLC*


Patricia A. Labarthe